UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,979	03/11/2004	Xavier Blin	05725.1343-00	4617	
	7590 09/04/200 ENDERSON, FARAE	8 GOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER		
LLP	LLP			HENLEY III, RAYMOND J	
	YORK AVENUE, NW GTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,979	BLIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALICIA R. HUGHES	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The solution of the condition of the c	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-35 and 44 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28, 36-43 and 45-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	e withdrawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected sheet	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicat Fiority documents have been receive Feau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims and Examination

Claims 1-56 are pending. However, claims 29-35 and 44 are withdrawn from consideration as they are drawn to a non-elected invention. Claims 1-28, 36-43 and 45-56 are pending and the subject of this examination.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicants' arguments filed on 07 August 2008 have been fully considered but are deemed to be unpersuasive regarding the previous rejection. Rejections not reiterated from this Office's previous action are hereby withdrawn. The rejections set forth herein constitute the complete set of rejections being applied to the instant application presently.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-28, 36-43, and 45-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,342,527 B1 [hereinafter referred to as O'Lenick et al."] in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,491,927 B1 [hereinafter referred to as "Arnaud et al."].

The Applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine the teachings of O'Lenick with that of Arnaud to arrive at the presently claimed invention. And further, that upon consideration of all of the facts, the combination of references does not render the present claims obvious. Additionally, Applicant argues that that O'Lenick fails to disclose the claimed polyester in combination with an oil having a molar mass from 650-10,000 g/mol and the Applicant alleges that the secondary reference to Arnaud does not remedy the deficiencies in O'Lenick, because the esters taught in the references do not overlap with the esters in Arnaud et al.

Applicant has amended language in the claims 1 and 54-56 to incorporate specifics on the high molecular mass oil contemplated by his invention consistent with those on pages 12 and 13 of the initial disclosure.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant's traversal has been fully and carefully considered, but fails to be persuasive. The arguments of this Office's Actions of 05 May 2008, 01 November 2007, and 14 February 2007 are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

For these reasons made previously of record, the rejection of claims 1-28, 36-43 and 45-56 remains proper and is maintained.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6026. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,979

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Public PAIR only. For information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct-uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alicia R. Hughes/

Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Raymond J Henley III/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614